Name_

"TWITTER WAR" JUDGES EVALUATION SHEET

Date_

<u>Directions</u>: Judges will utilize the article on their IPad and or computer to evaluate the NATO and Russian tweets. They will also use the text to insert at least two questions into the "Twitter War". They will use the numeric evaluative system below, where 3 is the high score for each category. The only category that is different is choosing the most creative twitter handle. The judge will award 3 points to the most creative and 1 point to the other twitter handle. At the end, the scores will be added up and averaged between the two judges to determine the winning team.

1. The most creative twitter handle. Judges score one team 3 and the other team 1 3- Most Creative 1- Creative

NATO:

Russia:

For the below categories, you will rate each team 3 (highest) to 0 (lowest)

RUSSIA	NATO
Thought Provoking Hash Tags:	Thought Provoking Hash Tags:
3- Creative and Textually based 2- Textually based 1. Creative 0- Neither creative or Textually based.	3- Creative and Textually based 2- Textually based 1. Creative 0- Neither creative or Textually based.
Description Score	Description Score
Quality of tweet:	Quality of tweet:
3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.	3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.
Quality of Tweet:	Quality of Tweet:
3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.	3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.

"TWITTER WAR" JUDGES EVALUATION SHEET

_

Name	
------	--

Date _____

RUSSIA	ΝΑΤΟ
An Appropriate and relevant visual:	An Appropriate and relevant visual:
3- Visual is appropriate and makes a valid point 2- Visual is appropriate and helps support a point 1- Visual is appropriate and is loosely related to conversation. 0- Visual is appropriate and not at all related to	3- Visual is appropriate and makes a valid point 2- Visual is appropriate and helps support a point 1- Visual is appropriate and is loosely related to conversation. 0- Visual is appropriate and not at all related to
Description Score	Description Score
Quality of tweet:	Quality of tweet:
3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.	3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.
Quality of Tweet: 3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.	Quality of Tweet: 3- strong point based on text 2- strong point OR based on text 1- A weak point not based on text 0- An incorrect point.
Thought Provoking Hash Tags:	Thought Provoking Hash Tags:
3- Creative and Textually based 2- Textually based 1. Creative 0- Neither creative or Textually based.	3- Creative and Textually based 2- Textually based 1. Creative 0- Neither creative or Textually based.
Description Score	Description Score
Total:	Total:

"TWITTER WAR" JUDGES EVALUATION SHEET

Name	Date

"TWITTER WAR" JUDGES EVALUATION SHEET

Name _____

Date _____